

From: Kerwin Brown
To: Microsoft ATR
Date: 1/27/02 8:05pm
Subject: Microsoft Settlement

To:
Renata B. Hesse
Antitrust Division
United States Department of Justice
601 D Street NW
Suite 1200
Washington, DC 20530-0001

I do not see this settlement as being effective since.

- 1} It does not do anything to alleviate the problem of Microsoft being a monopoly since it leaves the company intact.
- 2) It is too difficult to enforce the provisions and allows Microsoft to exempt itself from key ones.
- 3) Microsoft still decides what products are in computers that consumers buy.
- 4) The products they provide do not run well with non Microsoft products so consumers either have to deal with conflicts, obtain and install a whole new operating system or purchase Microsoft products only.
- 5) Microsoft has a history of not holding to agreements when it serves the companies purpose.

The remedies proposed by the Plaintiff Litigating States are good and serve the public interest but do not go far enough without addressing the above issues. Also citizens, consumer groups, Microsoft customers and Microsoft's competitors must be given an equal opportunity to participate in public proceedings held under the Tunney Act by the court.

Thank You for your time

Kerwin Brown
604 W. Beardsley
Champaign, IL 61820
(217_) 352-3312